

Back to the Future

Skull Parts 1 to 4

by
Steven + Evan Strong

stevenevanstrong@gmail.com



Back to the Future (Skull Parts 1 to 4), Progress Report

Back to the Future (Skull Parts 1 to 4), Progress Report

By Steven & Evan Strong



WARNING:

To Australian
Original People
please use caution
viewing this
website, as it
contains: images,
voices, videos and
mention of
deceased persons.

OUR ROLE:

Authors,
Researchers,
Progressive
Archaeo-



Historians &
Speakers

Donate



Option 1 : \$5.00 AUD - monthly

Subscribe



It was barely eighteen months ago when our knowledge and dealings with ancient bones was a double zero. If it came to marked and magic rocks, rock arrangements, engravings on rock platforms, star maps and the like, we are on familiar solid ground. But human remains, that was a narrative of which we were totally unacquainted.

This all began with a photo sent to us of an exposed skull found at a burial site, which at first sighting gave the false appearance of a conical bound skull. The invitation to attend the burial site was unconditionally accepted, especially so when there was talk of one skull exhibiting no indication of any suturing, and the other bearing massive eye sockets, far larger than any hominid yet found.

And so this unscripted journey into the past began, and we suspect that the current tally of four very similar no-forehead skulls is by no means complete. Each skull has no forehead, a large receding oval brain-case, massive eyes and an eye brow ridge that does not undulate, but peak above the centre of the nose.



NEXT EVENT:



**HELP SAVE THE
GYMPIE PYRAMID**



**PETITION: SIGN TO
SAVE AUSTRALIA'S
STONEHENGE**





Enough of the brief overview and background scenery. On to the main event, which is the full reconstruction of the skull and face of one of these beings. But before viewing the final product, there is a need to introduce the individual contributors, who as a collective, all became part of the final product.

Back to the Future (Skull Parts 1 to 4),...



First Among Equals

The first of the four no-forehead skulls is no less than a duet, and most likely one of many more. It, along with what may be a Neanderthal skull, was unearthed at a burial site a few years ago by a farmer when preparing to build a shed.

OUR NEW BOOK



BUY OUR BOOKS






Photo by Rhian Taylor

The most immediate reaction once on site, was that photographs are often deceptive, but this one was easily the most misleading and distorted record I have seen. There is nothing bound upwards in any vertical direction, the whole case runs not up, but back, and there is plenty of it. Instead of tapering, if it was bound, the skull flares outwards, measuring at the eyebrow ridge 10 cms, then widening out to 12 cms. Being 17 cms in length, the brain case has a shape that is curved and rectangular somewhat resembling a rugby ball.



Photo by Rhian Taylor

And then of course, there are the eyes. In that respect the camera did not deceive, in fact when holding the skull to my eye level, the eye socket appeared even bigger. That was due to the fact that once the skull is held at the normal sighted height when standing, the brain case is virtually unsighted. All that is left is a narrow chin and massive eyes that take up no less than 50% of the face.

There are, at first count, seven features that are unique

or unequalled, but of them all the one that really stuck out was the humerus bone (shoulder to elbow). On average, a human humerus measures around 30 cms, while that of a gibbon is longer by 5 cms. That is solely due to the way this animal lives, relying on the arms far more than the legs, it is Nature's way of adapting to a lifestyle where climbing is the highest priority. That being the case, what are we to make of this broken humerus bone lacking the elbow joint, which measures 43 cms?

It would seem even if this unusual strand of hominid was tree-dwelling, to have the top half of the arm no less than 10 cms longer than that of a gibbon, is an overkill and oversupply of bone length. Whatever the motivation, this being has very little in common with any hominid, ape, monkey or primate.

The Next Entrant

Not that long after our time at the burial site we were given two sets of bones, one of which was another no-forehead being. It is exactly the same shape and angle of recession as the first skull, but overall, is a slightly bigger 'version.' This individual, which we suspect to be male, measures 19 cms x 15 cms, which is both larger than the other no-forehead skull, and more importantly, even larger than *Homo sapiens sapiens*. The cast we have of an Original 1904 *Homo sapiens sapiens* measures 18 cms x 11 cms, which means skull number two of the no-forehead species has a brain-case that is 20-30% larger.



Photo by Ildi Budai

What became a greater item of interest once the skull spent some time with us, was somewhat overlooked when on the burial site, the extremely odd shape of the eye brow ridge is in total opposition to ours. The human ridge extends out above the eyes and recedes inwards above the nose, not here. The reverse applies, the ridge extends onwards and peaks outwards at its furthest at the central mid-point above the nose. So it isn't just the eyes, but also the ridge above, and neither remotely resemble our present-day features.



What also became more evident was an absence that is not the most pressing or striking, but it is worthy of mention. We always place the hair on our head well above the eyes extending vertically from about 8 cms. There is no vertical hold on this skull. Where does the hair go or grow on this recessed head? Or, is it the case that there is no answer, as no hair ever grew on the head of this species?

Way out West

The next two are works in progress, different people, timing and issues are at play and both will be fully researched, but for now it is early stages. Nevertheless, for the purposes of this report they need to be now acknowledged and introduced, now. The first of the two incomplete contributors still resides on country, and alas is exposed to the elements, somewhere way out the back. That vague geography is sufficient, in that it spreads the presence of these beings another thousand kilometres across the southern half of this continent. Being four in number, dispersed so far, this distant inland presence so far away automatically rules out any form of bizarre isolated genetic mutation.



3rd Site Skull

The photos sent of this skull have no comparative scale, nor were measurements taken by the two people who discovered the skull and bones. They were taken aback by the severity of recession above the eyes and did make contact with an academic, but received nothing in return. They then consulted a medical expert who recommended they contact us to get a better response.

Until inspection on site, and with no centimetre scale in the photo, there is little to add outside it is the same form, shape and make. Adding more is too difficult. Nonetheless, we were quite taken by the scattering of bones, many of which seem to be cut, some bearing a very clean cut. That is intriguing and contrary to the supposed Original tool-kit or medicine chest.

Down Near Jim Bowler's Middens

The fourth contestant hopefully will be seen very soon. The location again is not needed in specific terms, but what can be stated is that Jim Bowler is working on middens beneath cliffs by the sea in Victoria and getting dates of 120,000 years, with the prospect of more of the same. This skull was found very recently beside a cliff by the sea in Victoria, and it looks and 'feels' extremely ancient. Is it not possible to put these activities and presence together?



4th Site Skull

As an opening gambit this connection is quite likely, but it is open to question. Not so is the quite intriguing position and shape of the nose of the no-forehead beings. This skull settled that score. We made note of the extremely high positioning of the nose at the burial site, as the face was intact and unbroken, it did seem odd that the nose was seemingly out of position and so prominent. I remember discussing on the phone with one of the two people involved in the reconstruction, this very issue, and I did say that we feel a tractor's blade hit the face of the more robust skull and could have also grazed the no-forehead skull, thus dislodging the nose.

I kept to that equivocation until sighting the fourth skull. It has the same high protruding nose, but inside the nasal passages are intact and unbroken. It looks identical to the nose at the burial site, and because the inner workings of this nose was functioning until death, a cast of this nose was attached to the skull, referencing what could be seen twice as the base.

Again, until on site and beside the skull, any observation has to be hedged in more to come, but there is one other immediate avenue that did firm somewhat. Being found beside a cliff with waves with a deep round hole in the eye-brow ridge is due to either the action of waves or impact, if tumbling out a cliff-face burial site.

But there is more to this than just the positioning, the incredibly long arms are for climbing, and that skill is essential if residing on steep inclines. Then it runs deeper, in that an interlocutor of off-world benevolent entities, who we believe to be utterly genuine, relayed

information about these "cliff dwellers." There is more to come on that communication, but for now it does need to be thrown into the mix.

Put it all Together

After consulting close to one hundred photos from three sites, supplemented by a semi-constructed model using the eight fragments of skull, a reconstructed cast of the skull has been assembled by experts and now awaits inspection and comparison.



And that is the next step, we have made seventeen measurements of a 1904 Original Homo sapiens sapiens and the same seventeen measurements were made on the reconstructed skull. Of the three columns, two have centimetre/shape/angle measurements, while the third contains a percentage that addresses one of two comparisons. The percentage entered in this column relates to either an increase or decrease in capacity, length or width, which is the case with twelve of the seventeen comparisons. In the other five measurements, the methodology is a little less exact and touch more subjective, in that an estimate is supplied giving the degree of variation. Although this approach is tainted by personal bias and preference, it does have merit. When comparing the shapes of the two skulls, which are dramatically different, we came up with a 100% variation. The shape of the eye brow ridge is moderately different in shape and dimensions and the figure provided is accordingly lower at 50%.

Comparisons of Homo sapiens & no-Forehead Being

Specific Measurements	Homo sapiens Original (1904)	No-forehead being	% increase/decrease or variation
Height of forehead above eye-brow ridge	5 cms	1.5cm	330% HS
Width of braincase	11 cms	15 cms	27% NF
Length of braincase	18 cms	19.5 cms	5.2% NF
Height of skull	13.5 cms	11.5 cms	23% HS
Width x Length	198 sq. cms	292.25 sq. cms	33% NF
Width x length x height	2,676 cb. cms	3358 cb. cms	21% NF
Shape of skull from above	Oval	Flared tear drop	100% NF
Number of sutures	3	2 or none	33%-100% NF
Shape of eye socket	Sloped rectangle	Rounded square	100% NF
Diameter/length x breadth of eye socket	4×3=12 sq. cms	4.7 x 4.7=19.5 sq. cms	39% NF
Width of face	11 cms	14 cms	22% NF
Depression or peak of eye brow ridge above nose	Depression	Peak	50% NF
Position of the beginning of nose	Just above half way point of eye socket	Aligned to top of eye socket	50% NF

Angle of nose	45 degrees	60 degrees	25% NF
Narrowest piece of nose	2.2 cms	1.5 cm	32% HS
Overall Eye brow ridge shape	Thick, wavy with central depression	Thinner peaks at centre	50% NF
Slope from forehead to upper jaw	22 degrees	15 degrees	32% HS





Preliminary Observations

This analysis is in its early stages, and what is presented now is by no means definite and open to further additions or deletions. Nevertheless, there are some aspects of the comparisons made that demand immediate attention.

The Four No-forehead Skulls.

The four skulls are not a rare genetic mutation, as they come from four distinct tribal estates. One is on the beach, two are well inland and close to the Murray River, while the fourth is over a thousand kilometres to the west, in the desert. At this early count we have desert, riverine and coastal regions settled by these beings. It is no more than logical and consistent to suggest that since they are spread over a large expanse of the southern half of the continent, they are a discreet Original species.



1 Photo by Rhian
Taylor



2

That
two
of
these



3



4

beings were buried alongside other individuals with a skull cases more than twice as thick as its no-forehead companions is unique, and in both cases the beings interred share literally nothing in physiology, build or genes. Such proximity between species so different has no

precedent in Australia, or most probably anywhere else on this planet. In what only adds to the significance and importance of these beings, at the burial site both skeletons had their hands and knees wedged up against their chest and faced the east.

The no-forehead being had the two top teeth extracted, which was still the initiation ceremony for that tribe until their land was stolen. The second robust skull was coated inside and out, and so too every bone was covered in sacred red ochre. This tells me that in both sites the beings buried were respected and revered, again ruling out the remote possibility we are dealing with a genetic abnormality or some form of 'leper colony.'

It is quite possible that the width of the coastal Victorian no-forehead skull is less than ten centimetres. So that means that the faces measure less than ten, ten and fourteen. If the largest is a male, the burial site female, and the skull by the sea a child, such variation is within the boundary of how any hominid develops. Or perhaps they are changing over the centuries, through either hybridising with another species or due to environmental factors.

For us, the most pressing concern is that the no-forehead skull we have has a brain size of between 21-33% larger than *Homo sapiens sapiens* (admittedly based on a crude analysis), which leads on to a capacity of 1,600 to 1,700 cc. That is the biggest brain residing on the planet, ever! What only adds to the intrigue, is that if looking face on, the same intelligent skull looks so ancient and primitive, like Lucy. But once again, first

impressions can be deceptive, as the no-forehead beings may look ancient, but all less advanced hominids have faces that slope noticeably with have large protruding jaws. From jaw to eye brows, this being has an almost flat face.

Throw into this genetic mix the longest arms ever, the missing sutures, a unique eye-brow ridge accompanied by a nose without anything similar, an enigmatic depression in the ridge of the buried no-forehead being and the biggest eyes ever seen on this planet, and it all comes out so different to everyone else. Then, to top off this exotic equation, we still have to factor in the two completely dissimilar beings buried beside the two no-forehead skulls.

Thick, Robust and pre-Homo Sapiens Sapiens

What both these skulls may well do is break two cardinal rules relating to our earliest ancestry and genetic past. The golden rule that forms the underlying foundation for the Out-of-Africa theory is that earlier forms of hominids evolved first in Africa, and that roll-call certainly includes Neanderthals and certainly the more ancient archaic strand Homo sapiens, from which modern man directly evolved. Africa first, then other places later, and the one continent where neither archaic sapiens, Denisovans nor Neanderthals ever set foot, is Australia.

That was the current expectation, but not anymore. At the burial site the thicker skulled being (14 mms) is apparently not only 'sutureless,' but has a very pronounced, and decidedly exact 1.5 cm square bone knob at the back of the skull. That peculiar trait is supposed to be a Neanderthal feature, and since it is clearly present here, as challenging the notion of an Original Neanderthal may be, the signs are there.

The robust companion of the no-forehead skull we were given, is also a work in progress and may well have opened up another strand and ancestry far from fully understood. As conceded in our introduction, our knowledge in this area was minimal at best. We have made efforts to build from this meagre reservoir, but it is

nowhere near full. We first suspected this skull could be a Denisovan, it could be the reason this was assumed is due to my Denisovan genetic bias (my genetic makeup has a 4.7% Denisovan component), but even so, it did resemble Denisovan models we researched. As it turned out, we are probably wrong, as both of the experts involved in reconstruction have submitted a new candidate for further consideration.



They both feel it is not Denisovan, but an archaic Homo sapiens. That was even more 'left-field' than we could hope for, as the archaic sapien is agreed to be modern human's immediate ancestor, and is assumed to be solely of African origin. That African exit edict would no longer apply if the experts are right.

Either way, whether ancient sapien, Denisovan or something else again, lacking in any visible sign of any suturing and bearing a skull that is dramatically larger than our 1904 Original modern human being template, there is more than enough here to demand more research.

In Combination

Together, we have measured segments and lengths within four skulls. Two are no-forehead and the other two are possibly Neanderthal and Homo sapiens, and all have bigger brain cases than we do. That is the crucial point of deceleration, they are smarter than us and our brains are much smaller. That carries with it a truck load of paradoxes and contradictions, does that mean humans are getting dumber? Look around today and make your own conclusion, but from this vantage point it all looks so stupid and short-sighted. If it doesn't have a dollar sign, it just doesn't exist. Irrespective of our

prejudices and judgments, the fact remains that these beings, in combination, had the capacity to think more deeply and with more insight than we can today.

The present suture count is yet another bolt from above. Both robust skulls seem to be sutureless, one no-forehead being has between one to three sutures missing, and the buried no-forehead skull has a full complement of three sutures. In this alone there is no pattern or Earthly precedent.

If, Possibly, Maybe and we Have no Idea

Let's begin this preliminary conclusion at a conventional base, then extend upwards until setting off to distant constellations. The minimal concession to the no-forehead species will be the anointing of a 'hominin' title. An inexplicable genetic cul-de-sac that flourished for a time, then perished. At best a puzzling curio that really had no influence or bearing on the modern human historical past or present.

The problem is that these beings fail at every level when comparing to any other hominid that ever existed on this planet. The missing sutures, horizontal forehead, massive eyes, incredibly long arms, opposite track taken by the eye brow ridge, superior brain and weird nose, all sit outside the parameters of what it is to be classified hominid. They just do not qualify by any physiological measure to be granted admission.

The genetic directives that formed this body are not hominid nor sapien, until the tests are done that can still be questioned, but seriously, sit with the skulls and bones for some time, like we have, and I guarantee you the same conclusion will be formed. If there is no Earthly parallel down here, then look up into the sky.

The recovered no-forehead skulls were buried next to another so different, yet shows evidence of high order ceremony and burial rituals, so something incredibly special is occurring at two locations. As a couple, twice over, they stand alone in precedent and perhaps were of seminal importance to all Original people. So much so, that could it be, this is why the tradition of Adam and Eve

came about? Are they our true ancestors? Did Homo sapiens sapiens come into existence through a combination of Pleiadian and hominid genes, and is what we are finding here is a testimonial to that the union of 'as on top, so below'?

The discovery of these four no-forehead beings has historical overtones, but is much more centred on the very immediate future. The Original timeline does not accept any linear intrusion, it is circular, without a start or finish, and has just completed another hoop. Now is the time of an Awakening, tribal councils all over the world are saying exactly the same. It will be the time when we will indeed come back to the future, and one of the reasons why this will come to fruition is because of the re-emergence and future participation in these future events, by four skull parts.

Four Steps yet to be Taken

Let science that is fair and impartial be the final adjudicator. There are four essential steps in this process, four avenues of inquiry left to pursue. Once a date is obtained through thermoluminescence, an analysis of the teeth completed and the mtDNA and genome pattern established, there is only one task yet to complete: the burial on country.

For this union of science and Old Way protocol to occur, we need the permission of the relevant Original and Government authorities. It has been a difficult and frustrating path, but the good news is that it seems that there is light at the end of the tunnel and perhaps goodwill in official channels. It could be that there is a degree of consensus within official circles in allowing both the science and the return of the bones to their burial place.

It is that simple, let the science four times over provide the evidence, then take them home.

Leave a Reply